arXiv:1304.2829v1 [physics.optics] 10 Apr 2013

Duality constrained meta atoms for transformation optics

Ivan Fernandez-Corbaton[1,2] and Gabriel Molina-Terriza[1,2]

[1] *Department of Physics & Astronomy,*

Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia and

[2] *ARC Center for Engineered Quantum Systems, NSW 2109, Australia*

Abstract

Maxwell's equations in curved space-time are invariant under electromagnetic duality transformations. We exploit this property to constraint the design parameters of metamaterials used for transformations optics. We show that a general transformation must be implemented using a dual-symmetric metamaterial. This can be accomplished constraining the polarisability tensors of their individual constituents, i.e. the meta atoms. We obtain explicit expressions for these constraints. We also show that the spatial part of the coordinate transformation depends only on the electric-electric tensorial coupling of the polarisability tensor, while the spatio-temporal part depends only on the electric-magnetic tensorial coupling. In our derivations, we find that two dipoles located at the same point, one electric ($\mathbf{d}$) and one magnetic ($\mathbf{m}$), are needed to produce a total field with well defined helicity equal to +1 or -1, and that they must be related as $\mathbf{d} = \frac{i}{c}\mathbf{m}$ or $\mathbf{d} = -\frac{i}{c}\mathbf{m}$, respectively.

Transformation optics offers us a path to the design of invisibility cloaks, perfect lenses and any other device whose action on the electromagnetic field can be casted as a coordinate transformation in space-time [1–3]. Transformation optics is based on the exact correspondence between Maxwell's equations in an empty region of curved space-time and inside a material medium in a flat space-time background. All the information about the curved space-time geometry is contained in the constitutive relations of the material [4]. The desired transformation specifies a space-time metric which at its turn specifies the constitutive relations of the material. Refer to [5] for a detailed treatise in transformation optics.

Such formidable step in our ability to manipulate light comes with a correspondingly steep increase in the tunability requirements of material constitutive relations. Nature does not provide us with nearly enough flexibility in this aspect. We must synthesize artificial materials: Electromagnetic metamaterials. Transformation media are typically implemented by means of electromagnetically small inclusions inside an homogeneous and isotropic dielectric. These inclusions are sometimes referred to as meta atoms. The idea is to obtain the required constitutive relations from the collective response of the meta atoms. Currently, though, there is no systematic design methodology to go from the constitutive relations to the actual implementation of the metamaterial. In general, this is a highly complex task, partly because of the huge number of degrees of freedom of a general metamaterial, which include the electromagnetic response of the meta atoms and their three dimensional spatial arrangement. Reducing the number of degrees of freedom while maintaining the ability to implement general coordinate transformations is desirable.

In this paper we use a non-geometrical symmetry of Maxwell's equations, electromagnetic duality, to constrain the individual response of the meta atoms. There is a deep connection between transformation optics and duality symmetry. Almost two decades ago, I. Bialynicki-Birula realised that that the two helicity components of light do not mix in a gravitational field [6, 7]. Since helicity, as an operator, is the generator of duality transformations in the same same sense that angular momentum is the generator of rotations, helicity preservation is equivalent to invariance under duality transformations. It follows that Maxwell's equations in a general space-time geometry are invariant under duality transformations. We can therefore obtain a constraint without sacrificing generality: a metamaterial that implements a transformation medium should preserve the helicity of light, or in other words, possess duality invariance. In this paper, we will assume that the meta atoms in the metamaterial are small compared to the electromagnetic field wavelenghts so that they can be treated in the dipolar approximation. We will study the restrictions that the requirement of duality invariance imposes on the polarisability tensors of the meta atoms. Electromagnetic duality is a transformation that mixes electric and magnetic fields by means of a real angle θ. Assuming space and time dependent fields $(\mathbf{r}, t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_\theta &= \mathbf{E}\cos\theta - Z_0\mathbf{H}\sin\theta, \\ Z_0\mathbf{H} \rightarrow Z_0\mathbf{H}_\theta &= \mathbf{E}\sin\theta + Z_0\mathbf{H}\cos\theta, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $Z_0 = \sqrt{\mu_0/\epsilon_0}$ and (e_0, μ_0) are the vacuum permittivity and permeability constants. In vacuum, (1) is a symmetry of Maxwell's equations: If the electromagnetic field $(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t), \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t))$ is a solution of the free space Maxwell's equations, then the field $(\mathbf{E}_\theta(\mathbf{r}, t), \mathbf{H}_\theta(\mathbf{r}, t))$ is also a solution for all θ. Some decades ago, Calkin [8], and then others ([9, 10]) showed that helicity was the conserved quantity related to such symmetry. The helicity operator is defined [11, chap. 8.4.1] as the projection of the total angular momentum $\mathbf{J}$ onto the linear momentum direction, i.e. $\Lambda = \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{P}/|\mathbf{P}|$. In the case of photons [12, chap. 2.5], helicity can take the values ±1, which completely describe the internal degrees of freedom (polarisation) of the photon and the electromagnetic field. In the same way that linear momentum generates translations and angular momentum generates rotations, the helicity operator generates the duality transformation in (1).

In general, the presence of matter breaks the symmetry of the equations: a solution $(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t), \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t))$ does not generate a new solution when transformed as in (1). As a consequence, the interaction of light with matter generally changes the helicity of light. Nevertheless, the electromagnetic duality symmetry can be restored for the source-free macroscopic Maxwell's equations in material systems characterized by scalar permittivities and permeabilities [13]. We shall see that duality can also be restored in a macroscopic bianisotropic and inhomogeneous medium and in the dipolar approximation. Both of these cases are relevant for transformation devices made with metamaterials.

In this paper, we will use the Riemann-Silberstein representation of electromagnetic fields [6]. This formulism is very well suited to treat problems involving duality and helicity: As discussed in [7, §2.1], assuming that the energy of the field is positive, the combinations

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{F}_\pm(\mathbf{r},t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(Z_0\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r},t) \pm i\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r},t)\right)\\ \mathbf{G}_\pm(\mathbf{r},t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},t) \pm iZ_0\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r},t)\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

separate the positive and negative helicity components. That is, $\mathbf{F}_+(\mathbf{r},t)$ and $\mathbf{G}_+(\mathbf{r},t)$ have a well defined helicity equal to 1 and $\mathbf{F}_-(\mathbf{r},t)$ and $\mathbf{G}_-(\mathbf{r},t)$ have a well defined helicity equal to minus 1. Indeed, it is easily seen that under the duality transformation in (1), $\mathbf{G}^\theta_\pm(\mathbf{r},t) \rightarrow \exp(\mp i\theta)\mathbf{G}_\pm(\mathbf{r},t)$ and that $\mathbf{F}^\theta_\pm(\mathbf{r},t) \rightarrow \exp(\mp i\theta)\mathbf{F}_\pm(\mathbf{r},t)$ under the companion transformation [14, chap. 6.11] (the $(\mathbf{r},t)$ dependence now implicit):

$$\begin{aligned}Z_0\mathbf{D} \rightarrow Z_0\mathbf{D}_\theta &= Z_0\mathbf{D}\cos\theta - \mathbf{B}\sin\theta,\\ \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\theta &= Z_0\mathbf{D}\sin\theta + \mathbf{B}\cos\theta.\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

It follows that $\mathbf{F}_\pm(\mathbf{r},t)$ and $\mathbf{G}_\pm(\mathbf{r},t)$ must be eigenvectors of the generator of the continuous transformations (3) and (1) with eigenvalues equal to ±1. Under the assumption of positive energies, such generator is the helicity operator, as we now show. In the free space Maxwell's curl equations written as [7]:

$$i\partial_t\mathbf{F}_\pm(\mathbf{r},t) = \pm c_0\nabla\times\mathbf{F}_\pm(\mathbf{r},t), \tag{4}$$

where $c_0 = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}$. We identify $i\partial_t$ with the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ and $\nabla\times$ as the operator $\mathbf{S}\cdot\mathbf{P}$ [15, XII.93], that is, the projection of the spin angular momentum $\mathbf{S}$ onto the linear momentum $\mathbf{P}$. Then, (4) can be written like

$$c_0\frac{\mathbf{S}\cdot\mathbf{P}}{\mathcal{H}}\mathbf{F}_\pm = \pm\mathbf{F}_\pm. \tag{5}$$

If we now assume that the energy is positive, and since we are talking about massless electromagnetic fields, then $\mathcal{H} = c_0|\mathbf{P}|$. By additionally noting that $\mathbf{J}\cdot\mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{S})\cdot\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{S}\cdot\mathbf{P}$, the helicity operator $\Lambda = \mathbf{J}\cdot\mathbf{P}/|\mathbf{P}|$ appears explicitely in (5):

$$\Lambda\mathbf{F}_\pm(\mathbf{r},t) = \pm\mathbf{F}_\pm(\mathbf{r},t). \tag{6}$$

In connection with the previous discussion about duality, (6) shows that helicity has, for all $\mathbf{F}_\pm(\mathbf{r},t)$, the behavior corresponding to the generator of duality transformations. Finally, it is important to note that the assumption of positive energy does not reduce the generality of the treatment because, for electromagnetic fields, all the information is contained in the positive energy part and duplicated in the negative energy part, or vice versa.

The same arguments hold for the case of a homogeneous and isotropic medium with constitutive relations $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r},t)=\epsilon\mathbf{E},\ \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r},t)=\mu\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r},t)$ by simply replacing ϵ_0 and μ_0 by ϵ and μ, hence Z_0 by Z and c_0 by c.

To exploit the simple transformation properties of $\mathbf{F}_{\pm}(\mathbf{r},t)$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\pm}(\mathbf{r},t)$ under duality, we will use the following two six-component vectors:

$$\mathcal{F}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{bmatrix} Z\mathbf{D}+i\mathbf{B} \\ Z\mathbf{D}-i\mathbf{B}\end{bmatrix},\ \mathcal{G}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{E}+iZ\mathbf{H} \\ \mathbf{E}-iZ\mathbf{H}\end{bmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

In (7), and from now on, the $(\mathbf{r},t)$ dependence of the fields will be implicitly assumed but not explicitly written most of the time. Both vectors in (7) keep the positive helicity component on the upper three elements and the negative helicity component on the lower three elements. We are now ready to start the derivations.

For starters, we examine the duality transformation properties of Maxwell's equations in a medium with general linear constitutive relations $\mathcal{F}=N\mathcal{G}$ and derive the conditions on N for the medium to be dual symmetric. We implicitely assume that N can in general be a function of space. Then, we focus on the particular case of the linear constitutive relations induced by a general space-time geometry and verify that they meet the duality conditions.

Using the curl equations we can write:

$$i\partial_t\mathcal{F}=i\partial_t N\mathcal{G}=\begin{bmatrix}\nabla\times & 0\\ 0 & -\nabla\times\end{bmatrix}\mathcal{G}. \tag{8}$$

In the $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F})$ basis, the duality transformation of equations (1) and (3) is simply the 6×6 matrix:

$$D_\theta=\begin{bmatrix}\exp(-i\theta)I & 0\\ 0 & \exp(i\theta)I\end{bmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

where I is the 3×3 identity matrix. We now use (9) to transform (8)

$$D_\theta i\partial_t N D_\theta^{-1}D_\theta\mathcal{G}=D_\theta\begin{bmatrix}\nabla\times & 0\\ 0 & -\nabla\times\end{bmatrix}D_\theta^{-1}D_\theta\mathcal{G}. \tag{10}$$

Since D_θ commutes with $i\partial_t$ and with the block diagonal operator containing the curls, we obtain

$$i\partial_t D_\theta N D_\theta^{-1}\widehat{\mathcal{G}}=\begin{bmatrix}\nabla\times & 0\\ 0 & -\nabla\times\end{bmatrix}\widehat{\mathcal{G}}, \tag{11}$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{G}} = D_\theta \mathcal{G}$. The necessary and sufficient invariance condition that keeps the form of equation (11) in the $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ variable the same as the form of equation (8) in the $\mathcal{G}$ variable is $D_\theta N D_\theta^{-1} = N$, that is, that N and D_θ must commute. This happens if and only if N is block diagonal in 3×3 blocks. If we work back what this block diagonal condition means in the constitutive relations among the fields:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z_0\mathbf{D} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\underline{\epsilon}} & \underline{\underline{\chi}} \\ \underline{\underline{\gamma}} & \underline{\underline{\mu}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{E} \\ Z_0\mathbf{H} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{12}$$

we obtain that a block diagonal N forces

$$\underline{\underline{\epsilon}} = \underline{\underline{\mu}},\ \underline{\underline{\chi}} = -\underline{\underline{\gamma}}, \tag{13}$$

with which the time evolution of $\mathcal{G}$ reads:

$$i\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\underline{\epsilon}} - i\underline{\underline{\chi}} & 0 \\ 0 & \underline{\underline{\epsilon}} + i\underline{\underline{\chi}} \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{G} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla\times & 0 \\ 0 & -\nabla\times \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{G}. \tag{14}$$

The restrictions in (13) are the necessary and sufficient conditions for duality invariance (helicity preservation) for a general linear inhomogeneous and bianisotropic media. Incidentally, we recall that the constitutive matrix N can be a function of time and space, independently of which, if (13) is met, helicity is preserved. In particular, this applies across the boundaries of different bianisotropic media, which generalizes the results obtained in [7, §2.2] for isotropic and inhomogeneous media without magneto-electric couplings and in [13] for helicity preservation across boundaries of different isotropic and homogeneous media without magneto-electric couplings.

We now turn our attention to the constitutive relations induced by an empty but curved space-time with a metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. They were derived in [4]. In the Riemann-Sielberstein representation, they can be shown to be [7]:

$$F_+^n = -\frac{1}{g^{00}} \left(\sqrt{-g} g^{nm} - i g_{0k} \varepsilon^{nkm} \right) G_m^+ \tag{15}$$

where $n, m = [1, 2, 3]$, $\sqrt{-g}$ is the square root of the determinant of $-g_{\mu\nu}$, the inverse metric $g^{\mu\nu}$ is such that $g^{\mu\nu} g_{\nu k} = \delta_k^\mu = \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1)$, and ε^{nkm} is the totally antisymmetric three dimensional Levi-Civita symbol.

For the other helicity components $(\mathbf{G}_-, \mathbf{F}_-)$, straightforward algebra leads to:

$$F_-^n = -\frac{1}{g^{00}} \left(\sqrt{-g} g^{nm} + i g_{0k} \varepsilon^{nkm} \right) G_m^- \tag{16}$$

We can combine (15) and (16) in a matrix form:

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\underline{A_+}} & 0 \\ 0 & \underline{\underline{A_-}} \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{G}, \tag{17}$$

where $A_{\pm}^{nm} = (-\sqrt{-g}g^{nm} \mp ig_{0k}\varepsilon^{nkm})/g_{00}$. From our previous discussion, the block diagonal form of (17) implies duality invariance of a curved space-time. Since we have not imposed any restriction on $g_{\mu\nu}$, helicity preservation is inherent to the structure of any space-time metric, and can hence be seen as a necessary condition for any transformation medium. Furthermore, using results from [3], we can now arrive at some interesting conclusions. In [3], the authors showed that $\underline{\underline{\epsilon}}$ is related to space-only transformations and $\underline{\underline{\chi}}$ to transformations which mix space and time components. In equation (14) we note that, since the curl operator has an opposite sign effect on the two helicity components, $\underline{\underline{\epsilon}}$ has the same effect in the time evolution of both helicity components while $\underline{\underline{\chi}}$ causes a helicity dependent transformation. Consequently, we arrive at the conclusion that space-only transformations are helicity independent while space time mixing has a helicity dependent effect.

We will now show how the requirement of duality invariance in transformation optics affects the design of metamaterials. Clearly, if all the constituent meta atoms are dual symmetric their collective response will also be dual symmetric. In other words, if the field scattered by each meta atom preserves the helicity of its exciting field, helicity will be preserved by the entire meta medium. There is strong evidence that the converse is also true in the general case [16]: the collective response of a mixture of scatterers preserves helicity only if each individual scatterer preserves helicity. To impose duality invariance to the meta atoms, we assume that the size of a meta atom allows to model it by a polarisability tensor . Under these conditions, we ask what restrictions on the polarisability tensor result from the requirement of duality symmetry. From now on, we work on the frequency (ω) domain. This treatment can better accomodate the frequency dependent response of many practical meta atoms. It is understood that the obtained restrictions must be met across the whole bandwidth of operation.

We start with a dipolar scatterer at position $\mathbf{r}'$ with polarisability tensor M. The electric ($\mathbf{d}$) and magnetic ($\mathbf{m}$) dipoles induced by an incident electromagnetic field can be written as the product of the 6×6 real tensor M times the six component column vector formed by the incident electric $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}',\omega)$ and magnetic $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}',\omega)$ fields:

$$\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{d}(\omega)\\ \mathbf{m}(\omega)\end{bmatrix} = M \begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}',\omega)\\ \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}',\omega)\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\mathbf{dE}} & \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\mathbf{dH}}\\ \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\mathbf{mE}} & \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\mathbf{mH}}\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}',\omega)\\ \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}',\omega)\end{bmatrix}, \tag{18}$$

where M is decomposed into its four 3×3 blocks, which are labeled using an obvious notation. The strategy we now follow is to impose that the total scattered field due to $\mathbf{d}(\omega)$ and $\mathbf{m}(\omega)$ preserves the helicity of the incident field. We first obtain the relationship that must hold between $\mathbf{d}(\omega)$ and $\mathbf{m}(\omega)$ in order for their combined emission to have a well defined helicity. Then, we find the conditions that M must meet so that incident fields with well defined helicity induce dipoles which produce a scattered field with well defined helicity equal to the one of the incident field.

For the first task, we consider the field emitted by an electric dipole $\mathbf{d}$ and a magnetic dipole $\mathbf{m}$ located at the same point in an infinite homogeneous and isotropic medium with electric and magnetic constants (ϵ,μ). We denote by $(\mathbf{E_d},\mathbf{H_d})$ the fields produced by the electric dipole $\mathbf{d}$ and $(\mathbf{E_m},\mathbf{H_m})$ those produced by the magnetic dipole $\mathbf{m}$. The total fields are the sum of the fields radiated by the two dipoles

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E_d} + \mathbf{E_m}, \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H_d} + \mathbf{H_m}, \tag{19}$$

from which we can obtain the two helicity components:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{G}_+ &= (\mathbf{E_d} + \mathbf{E_m}) + iZ\left(\mathbf{H_d} + \mathbf{H_m}\right),\\ \mathbf{G}_- &= (\mathbf{E_d} + \mathbf{E_m}) - iZ\left(\mathbf{H_d} + \mathbf{H_m}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

A total field with well defined helicity equal to +1 will have no component of helicity equal to -1, thus

$$\mathbf{G}_- = (\mathbf{E_d} + \mathbf{E_m}) - iZ\left(\mathbf{H_d} + \mathbf{H_m}\right) = 0. \tag{21}$$

To solve (21), we use the relations in [14, chap. 9.3]. According to Jackson, a magnetic dipole $\mathbf{m}$ produces electric and magnetic fields $(\mathbf{E_m},\mathbf{H_m})$ which are related to the electric and magnetic fields $(\mathbf{E_{\bar{d}}},\mathbf{H_{\bar{d}}})$ produced by an auxiliary electric dipole $\bar{\mathbf{d}}$ (note that, for now, $\bar{\mathbf{d}}$ and $\mathbf{d}$ are not related) in the following way:

$$\bar{\mathbf{d}} = \frac{\mathbf{m}}{c},\ \mathbf{E_m} = -Z\mathbf{H_{\bar{d}}},\ \mathbf{H_m} = \frac{1}{Z}\mathbf{E_{\bar{d}}}. \tag{22}$$

Using (22) we turn (21) into

$$\mathbf{E_d} - iZ\mathbf{H_d} = i\mathbf{E_{\bar{d}}} + Z\mathbf{H_{\bar{d}}}. \tag{23}$$

Equation (23) must be met in all points of space. The solution is hence

$$\frac{\mathbf{m}}{c} = \bar{\mathbf{d}} = -i\mathbf{d}. \tag{24}$$

The exact same steps requiring a well defined helicity equal to -1 will result in $\mathbf{m}/c = \bar{\mathbf{d}} = i\mathbf{d}$.

We conclude that:

$$\mathbf{d} = \pm i\frac{\mathbf{m}}{c} \tag{25}$$

contains the only two cases when an electric and magnetic dipoles at the same point produce a field with well defined helicity, respectively equal to ±1. Both types of dipole must be present for it. We can now advance to the last part of our program and find the conditions on the polarisability tensor M under which the helicity of the incident field is preserved in the scattered field due to the induced dipoles in (18). We proceed by changing our representations of the incident fields and the induced dipoles in equation (18) in order to separate the two helicity components. For the fields, we will use the vector $\mathcal{G}$ in (7). For the dipoles, in light of (25), the transformation $\mathbf{p}_{\pm} = 1/\sqrt{2}\,(\mathbf{d} \pm i\mathbf{m}/c)$, separates the components that produce fields with well defined helicity. The transformations to obtain $\mathcal{G}$ from $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$, and $\mathbf{p}_{\pm}$ from $\mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{m}$ are respectively given by the matrices

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{bmatrix} I & iZ \\ I & -iZ \end{bmatrix}, T_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{bmatrix} I & \frac{i}{c}I \\ I & -\frac{i}{c}I \end{bmatrix}. \tag{26}$$

We use these matrices to transform equation (18)

$$T_2\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d} \\ \mathbf{m} \end{bmatrix} = T_2 M T_1^{-1} T_1 \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{E} \\ \mathbf{H} \end{bmatrix} \tag{27}$$

into

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{+} \\ \mathbf{p}_{-} \end{bmatrix} = T_2 M T_1^{-1}\mathcal{G}. \tag{28}$$

In light (28), the condition for helicity to be preserved, is that $T_2 M T_1^{-1}$ must be 3×3 block diagonal, which then imposes:

$$\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\mathbf{dE}} = \epsilon\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\mathbf{mH}},\ \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\mathbf{mE}} = -\frac{\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\mathbf{dH}}}{\mu}. \tag{29}$$

When (29) is met, we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{+} \\ \mathbf{p}_{-} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\mathbf{dE}} - i\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{\mu}}\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\mathbf{dH}} & 0 \\ 0 & \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\mathbf{dE}} + i\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{\mu}}\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\mathbf{dH}} \end{bmatrix}\mathcal{G}. \tag{30}$$

We conclude that, for scatterers described by their polarisability tensors, the relations in (29) are the necessary and sufficient conditions for helicity preservation, or equivalently, duality symmetry. Therefore, and accordingly to our previous arguments, in the context of transformation optics and metamaterials equation (29) provides a constraint of the electromagnetic response of the meta atoms that does not sacrifice the generality of the achievable transformation. Any coordinate transformation can be achieved using only inclusions which meet (29). Additionally, the comparison of equations (30) and (14) shows that both $\underline{\underline{\epsilon}}$ and $\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\mathbf{dE}}$ have the same action on both helicity states, while $\underline{\underline{\chi}}$ and $\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\mathbf{dH}}$ are the ones responsible for helicity dependent transformations. It follows that $\underline{\underline{\epsilon}}$ can only depend on $\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\mathbf{dE}}$ and $\underline{\underline{\chi}}$ can only depend on $\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\mathbf{dH}}$, and, from previous discussions, that $\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\mathbf{dE}}$ performs space-only transformations and $\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\mathbf{dH}}$ mixes space and time components.

In this article, we have restricted the polarisability tensors of the constituent meta atoms in metamaterials used for transformation optics to those that are invariant under duality transformations. Duality symmetry, equivalent to helicity preservation, is already a fundamental property of Maxwell's equations in a curved space-time, therefore, the restriction to the duality invariant class of meta atoms does not restrict the implementable space-time transformations. Additionally, we have shown that the space-only part of the coordinate transformation acts equally on both helicity components of the field and depends only on the electric-electric term of the polarisability tensor, while the part that mixes space and time has a helicity dependent effect and depends only on the magnetic-electric term. These results can guide the design process between the desired space-time transformation, the corresponding constitutive relations of the transformation medium and its implementation by means of metamaterials. Additionally, we have found that for a pair of collocated electric ($\mathbf{d}$) and magnetic ($\mathbf{m}$) dipoles to generate a field with well defined helicity equal to ±1 they must be related as $\mathbf{d} = \frac{i}{c}\mathbf{m}$ or $\mathbf{d} = -\frac{i}{c}\mathbf{m}$, respectively. We have also found the restrictions for helicity preserving (dual symmetric) constitutive relations of inhomogeneous and anisotropic media, which include the case of the boundary between two different such media.

[1] Ulf Leonhardt. Optical conformal mapping. *Science*, 312(5781):1777–1780, June 2006.

[2] J. B. Pendry, D. Schurig, and D. R. Smith. Controlling electromagnetic fields. *Science*,

312(5781):1780–1782, June 2006.

[3] Ulf Leonhardt and Thomas G. Philbin. General relativity in electrical engineering. *New Journal of Physics*, 8(10):247, October 2006.

[4] Jerzy Plebanski. Electromagnetic waves in gravitational fields. *Physical Review*, 118(5):1396–1408, June 1960.

[5] Ulf Leonhardt and Thomas G. Philbin. Chapter 2 transformation optics and the geometry of light. Volume 53:69–152, 2009.

[6] Iwo Bialynicki-Birula. On the wave function of the photon. *Acta Phys. Pol.*, 86(3):97–116, January 1994.

[7] Iwo Bialynicki-Birula. Photon wave function. In E. Wolf, editor, *Progress in Optics*, volume Volume 36, pages 245–294. Elsevier, 1996.

[8] M. G. Calkin. An invariance property of the free electromagnetic field. *Am. J. Phys.*, 33(11):958, 1965.

[9] Daniel Zwanziger. Quantum field theory of particles with both electric and magnetic charges. *Phys. Rev.*, 176:1489–1495, Dec 1968.

[10] Stanley Deser and Claudio Teitelboim. Duality transformations of abelian and non-abelian gauge fields. *Physical Review D*, 13(6):1592–1597, March 1976.

[11] Wu-Ki Tung. *Group Theory in Physics*. World Scientific, 1985.

[12] Steven Weinberg. *The Quantum Theory of Fields (Volume 1)*. Cambridge University Press, 1 edition, June 1995.

[13] Ivan Fernandez-Corbaton, Xavier Zambrana-Puyalto, Nora Tischler, Alexander Minovich, Xavier Vidal, Mathieu L. Juan, and Gabriel Molina-Terriza. Electromagnetic duality symmetry and helicity conservation for the macroscopic maxwell's equations. *arXiv:1206.0868*, June 2012.

[14] John David Jackson. *Classical Electrodynamics*. Wiley, 1998.

[15] Albert Messiah. *Quantum Mechanics*. Dover, 1999.

[16] Ivan Fernandez-Corbaton, Xavier Vidal, Nora Tischler, and Gabriel Molina-Terriza. Necessary symmetry conditions for the rotation of light. *arXiv:1212.3601*, December 2012.

Acknowledgements The authors warmly acknowledge Professor Iwo Bialynicki-Birula for very useful discussions during the conception and writing of this paper. This work was funded by the Centre of Excellence for Engineered Quantum Systems (EQuS). G.M.-T is also funded by the Future Fellowship program (FF).